

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,535	0	7/11/2003	Tavis D. Schriefer	175-0002US	7506	
29855	7590	07/15/2005		EXAM	INER	
•	WONG, CABELLO, LUTSCH, RUTHERFORD & BRUCCULERI,			LEON, EDWIN A		
P.C. 20333 SH 24	1 9			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 600			2833			
HOUSTON, TX 77070				DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	000 4.41 0	10/619,535	SCHRIEFER, TAVIS D.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Edwin A. León	2833	
eriod f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
THE - Extended after aft	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply received by the office later than three months after the manned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
tatus				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	i May 2005.		
,	·	his action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
isposi	tion of Claims			
4) 🗆	Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
<i>,</i> —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd			
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
pplica	tion Papers			
9)区	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
	The drawing(s) filed on 7/11/03 is/are: a)		to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	•		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
riority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
-] Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore)	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
,	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p			
	application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other: ____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's Response filed May 16, 2005 has been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because the <u>specific</u> structure of the mechanism, which allows rotation in two orthogonal planes, is not clearly shown. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. No new matter should be entered. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to

Art Unit: 2833

which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicant's claims are deemed unclear because the <u>specific</u> structure of the mechanism, which allows rotation in two orthogonal planes, is not clearly described in the Specification nor shown in the Drawings. Appropriate correction is required. No new matter should be entered.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-51 would be allowable if the Specification, the Drawings and the claims are rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112 set forth in this Office action for the reasons stated in the Office Action of March 24, 2005.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed May 16, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to Applicant's arguments (Pages 2-5) that the objections to the Drawings, the Specification and the 112 1st rejection of Claims 1-51 are improper, Applicant is reminded that Applicant's claims are deemed unclear because the <u>specific</u> structure of the mechanism, which allows rotation in two orthogonal planes, is not clearly described in the Specification nor shown in the Drawings. One with ordinary skill

Application/Control Number: 10/619,535

Art Unit: 2833

in the art would not be able to use or make this invention since there is not a <u>specific</u> explanation on how the mentioned examples could be applied in the present invention.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edwin A. León whose telephone number is (571) 272-2008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on 571-272-2800, extension 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,535

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2833

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Gary Paumen Primary Examiner

Edwin A. Leon AU 2833

EAL July 12, 2005